

World function and time transfer: general post-Minkowskian expansions

Christophe Le Poncin-Lafitte^{†§}, Bernard Linet[‡] and Pierre Teyssandier [†]

[†] Département Systèmes de Référence Temps et Espace, CNRS/UMR 8630
Observatoire de Paris, 61 avenue de l'Observatoire, F-75014 Paris, France

[‡] Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, CNRS/UMR 6083
Université François Rabelais, F-37200 Tours, France

Abstract.

In suitably chosen domains of space-time, the world function may be a powerful tool for modelling the deflection of light and the time/frequency transfer. In this paper we work out a recursive procedure for expanding the world function into a perturbative series of ascending powers of the Newtonian gravitational constant G . We show rigorously that each perturbation term is given by a line integral taken along the unperturbed geodesic between two points. Once the world function is known, it becomes possible to determine the time transfer functions giving the propagation time of a photon between its emission and its reception. We establish that the direction of a light ray as measured in the 3-space relative to an observer can be derived from these time transfer functions, even if the metric is not stationary. We show how to derive these functions up to any given order in G from the perturbative expansion of the world function. To illustrate the method, we carry out the calculation of the world function and of the time transfer function outside a static, spherically symmetric body up to the order G^2 , the metric containing three arbitrary parameters β , γ , δ .

PACS numbers: 04.20.Cv, 04.25.-g, 04.80.-y

[§] To whom correspondence should be addressed (leponcin@danof.obspm.fr)

1. Introduction

With advances in technology, it will become indispensable to determine some of the relativistic effects in the propagation of light beyond the first order in the Newtonian gravitational constant G , in particular in the area of space astrometry. The Global Astrometric Interferometer for Astrophysics (GAIA) [1] and the Space Interferometric Mission (SIM) [2] are already planned to measure the positions and/or the parallaxes of celestial objects with typical uncertainties in the range 1-10 μ arcsecond (μ as). The Laser Astrometric Test Of Relativity (LATOR) mission is an even more ambitious program since its primary objective is to measure the bending of light near the Sun to an accuracy of 0.02 μ as [3]. With such requirements in experimental precision, it is clear that the effects of the second order in G must be taken into account in the near future since they result in several μ as deflection for a light ray grazing the Sun [4–7].

In order to obtain a convenient modelling of the above-mentioned projects, it is necessary to determine the deflection of light rays between two points x_A and x_B of space-time. In almost all of the theoretical studies devoted to this problem, the properties of light rays are determined by integrating the differential equations of the null geodesics. This procedure is workable as long as one contents oneself with analyzing the effects of first order in G , as it is proven by the generality of the results obtained in [8–15]. Unfortunately, analytical solution of the geodesic equations requires cumbersome calculations when terms of second order in G are taken into account, even in the case of a static, spherically symmetric space-time [4–6, 16]. The goal of the present paper is to develop a procedure allowing to determine the deflection of light and the travel time of photons without integrating the geodesic equations.

We assume that space-time is globally regular with the topology $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3$, i.e. without event horizon. According to these assumptions, we admit that the Lorentzian metric is represented at any point x by a series in ascending powers of G

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x, G) = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n g_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}(x), \quad (1)$$

where

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} = \eta_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)$$

in any quasi Cartesian coordinates. Neglecting all terms involving $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n+1)}$, $g_{\mu\nu}^{(n+2)}$, ... defines the so-called n th post-Minkowskian approximation.

Essentially, our method uses the notion of world function as developed by Ruse [17] and Synge [18, 19]. The world function $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ is defined as half the squared geodesic distance between two points x_A and x_B . Before entering into the presentation of the method, it must be noted that this definition raises a serious problem, since there could exist points x_A and x_B connected by several geodesics. Given a point x_A , it is usual to define the normal convex neighbourhood of x_A , say $\mathcal{N}_{x_A}$, as the set of all points x joined to x_A by a unique geodesic path [20]. The world function $\Omega(x_A, x)$ is then well defined for $x \in \mathcal{N}_{x_A}$. In agreement with the assumptions mentioned in the previous paragraph, we suppose that if x_B is joined to x_A by a null geodesic, then this geodesic is unique. Denoting the light cone at x_A by $\mathcal{C}_{x_A}$, this means that $\mathcal{C}_{x_A} \subset \mathcal{N}_{x_A}$. Thus we can use the world function to study the deflection of the light and the travel time of photons between x_A and x_B . Let us point out that our assumptions are compatible with the existence of multiple-images effects involving different travel times for the photons (see, e.g., [21] and references therein).

We shall see in section 2 that the invariant two-point function $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ has very interesting properties. First of all, considering the geodesic path connecting x_A and x_B , it may be shown that the tangent vectors at x_A and x_B are provided by the gradients of the world function. Second, it is clear that x_A and x_B are joined by a null geodesic if and only if $\Omega(x_A, x_B) = 0$. As a consequence, the deflection of a light ray emitted at a finite distance may be easily determined when the world function of a given space-time is known, just as the travel time of a photon between two points. This means that the world function allows to calculate the time/frequency transfer functions and can be extremely useful in situations involving a comparison between two distant clocks, as it has been shown by two of us in a recent work [22] extending the results obtained in [23] and [24]. Other possible applications deserve to be emphasized. For example, the quantum phase accumulated by a freely falling particle is proportional to the geodesic distance covered through space-time [25]. So, the world function can be relevant for modelling situations like neutrino oscillations [26].

Taking equation (1) into account, it is possible to assume that $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ admits an expansion as follows

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = \Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n \Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x_B). \quad (2)$$

The primary objective of the paper is to yield a recursive procedure for determining each term $\Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x_B)$ within the n th post-Minkowskian approximation without calculating the geodesic joining x_A and x_B .

Our method is inspired by a procedure found by Buchdahl [27] for obtaining the perturbative expansion of the characteristic function defined as $V = \sqrt{2|\Omega|}$. However, the gradients of $V(x_A, x_B)$ are not defined when x_A and x_B are linked by a null geodesic. So we prefer developing a new procedure involving Ω itself. Considering that x_A and x_B are fixed points, we use the Hamilton-Jacobi equation satisfied by $\Omega(x_A, x)$ at point x . It is easily seen that this equation may be replaced by an infinite set of ordinary differential equations for the perturbation terms $\Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x)$ when x is constrained to move along the zeroth-order geodesic connecting x_A and x_B . As a consequence, each term $\Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x_B)$ is obtained in the form of a line integral along a straight line in the background Minkowski metric. Thus, we obtain a recursive procedure which completely avoids the calculation of the perturbation of the geodesic joining the given end points.

Within the first post-Minkowskian approximation, we recover a simple integral expression of $\Omega^{(1)}$ already given by Synge [28]. In contrast, the fact that one has to calculate line integrals with integrands containing products of the first-order derivatives of terms $\Omega^{(n-p)}$, $p = 1, \dots, n-1$ proves to be awkward in attempts at getting explicit expressions of $\Omega^{(n)}$ for $n \geq 2$. Fortunately, at least in the case where $n = 2$, we obtain a simplified formula for $\Omega^{(2)}$ where the quadratic terms in the first derivatives of $\Omega^{(1)}$ appear only as boundary terms. Then, we develop an iterative procedure allowing to deduce the propagation time of a photon correct to within $(n+1)$ th-order terms from the expressions of $\Omega^{(n)}$, $\Omega^{(n-1)}$, ..., $\Omega^{(0)}$.

Finally, we derive the world function and the propagation time of light in a static, spherically symmetric space-time within the second post-Minkowskian approximation. In order to get expressions valid for a wide class of gravitational theories, we assume that the metric involves three arbitrary parameters: the usual post-Newtonian parameters β and γ [29], and a supplementary parameter δ , introduced to take into account the term of order G^2 in spatial components g_{ij} (see, e.g., [30] and references

therein). Complete results were obtained by John [31] involving quite cumbersome calculations. Without any reference to this earlier paper, a complicated integration of the geodesic equations was performed by Richter and Matzner [16] in order to calculate the time delay for a special coordinate system adapted to the direction of the light ray at the emission point. More recently, Brumberg [32] has used the Euler-Lagrange equations of null geodesics to find the propagation time of light as a function of the boundary conditions in the second post-Minkowskian approximation of the Schwarzschild metric. For our part, we do not need determining the null geodesics to get the world function and the propagation time of light and we use only elementary quadratures to perform the calculations.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 the general definition of the world function and its fundamental properties are recalled. In section 3 we introduce two distinct time transfer functions and we give their general relations with the world function. We establish that all the calculations relative to the direction of a light ray or to the frequency transfers can be performed when one of the two time transfer functions is explicitly known. In section 4 we set out the recursive method which allows to determine the world function in the n th post-Minkowskian approximation for any $n \geq 2$. In section 5 we show how to deduce the time transfer functions from the world function at any post-Minkowskian order of approximation. In section 6 we determine explicitly the world function and the time transfer outside a static, spherically symmetric body within the second post-Minkowskian approximation. Some concluding remarks are given in section 7. In Appendix A we give an alternative derivation of the integral expression for $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$, based on an integration of the Euler-Lagrange equations of geodesics.

In this paper c is the speed of light in a vacuum. The Lorentzian metric of space-time V_4 is denoted by g . The signature adopted for g is $(+ - - -)$. We suppose that space-time is covered by some global quasi-Galilean coordinate system $(x^\mu) = (x^0, \mathbf{x})$, where $x^0 = ct$, t being a time coordinate, and $\mathbf{x} = (x^i)$. We assume that the curves of equations $x^i = \text{const}$ are timelike, which means that $g_{00} > 0$ anywhere. We employ the vector notation $\mathbf{a}$ in order to denote $(a^1, a^2, a^3) = (a^i)$. Considering two such quantities $\mathbf{a}$ and $\mathbf{b}$ we use $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ to denote $a^i b^i$ (Einstein convention on repeated indices is used). The quantity $|\mathbf{a}|$ stands for the ordinary Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{a}$. For any quantity $f(x^\lambda)$, $f_{,\alpha}$ denotes the partial derivative of f with respect to x^α . The indices in parentheses characterize the order of perturbation. They are set up or down, depending on the convenience.

2. Definition and fundamental properties of Synge's world function

Since Synge's world function is not widely employed, we briefly recall how its fundamental properties can be straightforwardly derived from the variational principle defining the geodesic curves (for the characteristic function $V = \sqrt{2|\Omega|}$, see e.g. Buchdahl [33–35]). We begin with recalling some useful results concerning the general variation of a functional defined as an action in classical mechanics.

Let x_A and x_B be two points of space-time connected by a differentiable curve C_{AB} defined by the parametric equations $x^\alpha = x^\alpha(\zeta)$, with $\zeta_A \leq \zeta \leq \zeta_B$. Given a Lagrangian function $L(x^\alpha, \dot{x}^\beta)$ with $\dot{x}^\beta(\zeta) = dx^\beta(\zeta)/d\zeta$, one can define the functional

$\tilde{S}$ by

$$\tilde{S}[C_{AB}] = \int_{\zeta_A}^{\zeta_B} L(x^\alpha(\zeta), \dot{x}^\beta(\zeta)) d\zeta, \quad (3)$$

the integral being taken along C_{AB} . Let us consider now an arbitrarily neighbouring curve $C'_{A'B'}$ connecting points $x_{A'}$ and $x_{B'}$, represented by parametric equations $x'^\alpha = x'^\alpha(\zeta')$, with $\zeta_{A'} \leq \zeta' \leq \zeta_{B'}$. Let $x_A^\alpha + \delta x_A^\alpha = x_{A'}^\alpha$ and $x_B^\alpha + \delta x_B^\alpha = x_{B'}^\alpha$ be the coordinates of $x_{A'}$ and $x_{B'}$ respectively. Putting $\bar{\delta}x^\alpha(\zeta) = x'^\alpha(\zeta) - x^\alpha(\zeta)$, one can define a one-to-one correspondance between C_{AB} and $C'_{A'B'}$ by the infinitesimal transformation

$$x^\alpha(\zeta) \rightarrow x'^\alpha(\zeta + \delta\zeta) = x^\alpha(\zeta) + \delta x^\alpha(\zeta), \quad (4)$$

where

$$\delta x^\alpha(\zeta) = \bar{\delta}x^\alpha(\zeta) + \dot{x}^\alpha(\zeta)\delta\zeta \quad (5)$$

with the boundary conditions

$$\delta x^\alpha(\zeta_A) = \delta x_A^\alpha, \quad \delta x^\alpha(\zeta_B) = \delta x_B^\alpha. \quad (6)$$

Performing an integration by parts leads directly to the following expression for the quantity $\delta\tilde{S} = \tilde{S}[C'_{A'B'}] - \tilde{S}[C_{AB}]$ (see, e.g., [36])

$$\delta\tilde{S} = \int_{\zeta_A}^{\zeta_B} \left[\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{d}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\alpha} \right) \right] \bar{\delta}x^\alpha(\zeta) d\zeta + [p_\alpha \delta x^\alpha - H \delta\zeta]_A^B, \quad (7)$$

where p_α is the 4-momentum belonging to x^α and H is the Hamiltonian:

$$p_\alpha = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\alpha}, \quad H = p_\alpha \dot{x}^\alpha - L. \quad (8)$$

In the following we assume that there exist domains $\mathcal{D}$ of space-time such that whatever $x_A \in \mathcal{D}$ and $x_B \in \mathcal{D}$, x_A and x_B are linked by a unique curve Γ_{AB} realizing an extremum of the functional $\tilde{S}$. Then, describing Γ_{AB} by parametric equations $x^\alpha = x^\alpha(\zeta)$, we can associate to any domain $\mathcal{D}$ a function S of $x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B$ defined as

$$S(x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B) = \int_{\Gamma_{AB}} L(x^\alpha(\zeta), \dot{x}^\beta(\zeta)) d\zeta. \quad (9)$$

Extending a terminology currently used in mechanics, we shall call S the characteristic (or principal) function belonging to the Lagrangian L adapted to the domain $\mathcal{D}$. Since the functions $x^\alpha(\zeta)$ satisfy the Euler-Lagrange equations

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{d}{d\zeta} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^\alpha} \right) = 0, \quad (10)$$

the total variation of $S(x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B)$ reduces to the boundary terms in equation (7). Consequently, one has the relations

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_A^\alpha} = -(p_\alpha)_A, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_B^\alpha} = (p_\alpha)_B, \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \zeta_A} = H_A, \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \zeta_B} = -H_B. \quad (12)$$

Considering that H is a function of x^α and p_α , then substituting for $(p_\alpha)_A$ and $(p_\alpha)_B$ from equations (11) into equations (12), it is easily seen that $S(x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B)$ satisfies a Hamilton-Jacobi equation both at x_A^α and at x_B^α

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \zeta_A} = H\left(x_A^\alpha, -\frac{\partial S}{\partial x_A^\alpha}\right), \quad \frac{\partial S}{\partial \zeta_B} = -H\left(x_B^\alpha, \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_B^\alpha}\right). \quad (13)$$

Let us apply these results to space-time V_4 endowed with a Lorentzian metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and insert in equation (3) the Lagrangian defined by

$$L = \frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta. \quad (14)$$

Each curve Γ_{AB} parametrized by ζ for which $\tilde{S}$ has an extremum is a geodesic path joining x_A and x_B . Parameter ζ is said to be affine. According to (8), we have now

$$p_\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\beta, \quad H = \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta} p_\alpha p_\beta, \quad (15)$$

from which it is easily deduced that $H = L$ on any geodesic path. Owing to the fact that L does not contain ζ as an explicit variable, this last equality implies that the Lagrangian L defined by (14) is a constant of the motion.

We are only concerned here with a weak-field metric represented by equation (1) throughout space-time. So we henceforth restrict our attention to the domains $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{N}_{x_A}, \mathcal{N}_{x_B}$ being defined in Introduction. Then whatever $x_B \in \mathcal{N}_{x_A}$, there exists a unique geodesic path Γ_{AB} connecting x_A and x_B . Let us denote by $\hat{\Omega}$ the characteristic function belonging to the Lagrangian (14) adapted to $\mathcal{N}_{x_A}$. According to (9) we have

$$\hat{\Omega}(x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\zeta_A}^{\zeta_B} g_{\alpha\beta} \dot{x}^\alpha \dot{x}^\beta d\zeta, \quad (16)$$

the integral being taken along Γ_{AB} . Since $L = H$ is a constant of the motion, we have $L = H_A = H_B$ on Γ_{AB} . Inserting these relations into equation (16) yields

$$H_A = H_B = \frac{\hat{\Omega}(x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B)}{\zeta_B - \zeta_A}. \quad (17)$$

Substituting these expressions of H_A and H_B into equations (12), we get

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\Omega}}{\partial \zeta_A} = \frac{\hat{\Omega}}{\zeta_B - \zeta_A}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\Omega}}{\partial \zeta_B} = -\frac{\hat{\Omega}}{\zeta_B - \zeta_A}. \quad (18)$$

Integrating these equations, we find that $\hat{\Omega}$ may be written as

$$\hat{\Omega}(x_A, x_B, \zeta_A, \zeta_B) = \frac{\Omega(x_A, x_B)}{\zeta_B - \zeta_A}, \quad (19)$$

where $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ is a function of x_A and of x_B . This two-point function, which is symmetric in x_A and x_B , is called the world function. Denoting by λ the unique affine parameter such that $\lambda_A = 0$ and $\lambda_B = 1$, we infer from equations (16) and (19) that $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ may be written as

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 g_{\mu\nu}(x^\alpha(\lambda)) \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} d\lambda, \quad (20)$$

the integral being taken along Γ_{AB} . It can be easily seen that

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{AB} [s_{AB}]^2, \quad (21)$$

where $\epsilon_{AB} = 1, 0, -1$ when Γ_{AB} is a timelike, a null or a spacelike geodesic, respectively, and s_{AB} is the geodesic distance between x_A and x_B , i.e.

$$s_{AB} = \int_{\Gamma_{AB}} \sqrt{|g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu|}.$$

The relevance of the world function in the problems related to the light deflection, the time delay or the gravitational frequency shift is justified by the following properties, which are easily deduced from the above-mentioned theory.

Property 1. *The covariant components of the vectors tangent to the geodesic path Γ_{AB} at x_A and x_B respectively, are given by*

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right)_A = - \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_A^\mu}(x_A, x_B), \quad (22)$$

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right)_B = \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^\mu}(x_A, x_B). \quad (23)$$

These fundamental formulae are immediately deduced from equations (11) and (15). They show that the vectors tangent to the geodesic path Γ_{AB} at x_A and x_B can be explicitly determined when the world function $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ is known.

Any other affine parameter ζ along Γ_{AB} is such that

$$\zeta = (\zeta_B - \zeta_A)\lambda + \zeta_A, \quad (24)$$

where ζ_A and ζ_B are the values corresponding to x_A and x_B , respectively. As a consequence, we have for the tangent vector $dx^\mu/d\zeta$ the general formulae

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\zeta} \right)_A = - \frac{1}{\zeta_B - \zeta_A} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_A^\mu}(x_A, x_B), \quad (25)$$

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\zeta} \right)_B = \frac{1}{\zeta_B - \zeta_A} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^\mu}(x_A, x_B). \quad (26)$$

It is clear that point x_B may be replaced in (26) by any point $x(\zeta)$ on Γ_{AB} which differs from x_A . Returning to λ for the sake of simplicity, we find that the covariant components of the vector tangent to Γ_{AB} at point $x(\lambda)$ are given by

$$\left(g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda} \right)_{x(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x(\lambda)), \quad (27)$$

where $\partial \Omega / \partial x^\mu$ denotes the partial derivative of $\Omega(x_A, x)$ with respect to x^μ at point x . It immediately follows from equations (27) that the system of equations

$$\left(\frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \right)_{x(\lambda)} = \frac{1}{\lambda} g^{\mu\rho}(x(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x^\rho}(x_A, x(\lambda)), \quad (28)$$

can be regarded as the first-order differential system governing the geodesic paths passing through a given point x_A . The regularity of this system at x_A is a direct consequence of the following property [19].

Property 2. *The first-order partial derivatives of $\Omega(x_A, x)$ may be expanded as*

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x_A)(x^\nu - x_A^\nu) + C_{\mu\alpha\beta}(x_A, x)(x^\alpha - x_A^\alpha)(x^\beta - x_A^\beta), \quad (29)$$

where the functions $C_{\mu\alpha\beta}(x_A, x)$ remain bounded in the neighbourhood of x_A .

It results from this property that $\partial\Omega/\partial x^\mu(x_A, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow x_A$ and that the r.h.s. of equations (27) and (28) remains bounded as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$.

Now, the following statement can be straightforwardly derived from equations (13), (15) and (17).

Property 3. *The world function $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equations*

$$\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}(x_A) \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x_A^\alpha}(x_A, x_B) \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x_A^\beta}(x_A, x_B) = \Omega(x_A, x_B), \quad (30)$$

$$\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}(x_B) \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x_B^\alpha}(x_A, x_B) \frac{\partial\Omega}{\partial x_B^\beta}(x_A, x_B) = \Omega(x_A, x_B). \quad (31)$$

As we shall see below, these equations and Property 2 enable to construct the world function in any post-Minkowskian approximation.

Properties 1, 2 and 3 are valid whatever the nature of the geodesic curve joining x_A and x_B . In the case of null geodesics, (20) and $L = H_A = H_B = 0$ immediately lead to the following statement.

Property 4. *Two points x_A and x_B are joined by a light ray if and only if the condition*

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = 0 \quad (32)$$

is fulfilled.

Thus, $\Omega(x_A, x) = 0$ is the equation of the light cone $\mathcal{C}_{x_A}$.

3. Time transfer functions

It follows from Property 4 that if $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ is known in $\mathcal{N}_{x_A}$, it is possible to determine the travel time $t_B - t_A$ of a photon connecting two points x_A and x_B as a function of t_A , $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$ or as a function of t_B , $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$. It must be pointed out, however, that solving the equation $\Omega(ct_A, \mathbf{x}_A, ct_B, \mathbf{x}_B) = 0$ for t_B yields two distinct solutions t_B^+ and t_B^- since the timelike curve $x^i = x_B^i$ cuts the light cone $\mathcal{C}_{x_A}$ at two points x_B^+ and x_B^- , x_B^+ being in the future of x_B^- . In the present paper, we always consider x_A as the point of emission of the photon and x_B as the point of reception, and we focus our attention on the determination of $t_B^+ - t_A$ (clearly, the determination of $t_B^- - t_A$ comes within the same methodology). For the sake of brevity, we shall henceforth write t_B instead of t_B^+ .

In general, $t_B - t_A$ may be considered either as a function of the instant of emission t_A , and of $\mathbf{x}_A$, $\mathbf{x}_B$, or as a function of the instant of reception t_B and of $\mathbf{x}_A$, $\mathbf{x}_B$. So we are led to introduce two distinct (coordinate) time transfer functions $\mathcal{T}_e$ and $\mathcal{T}_r$ respectively defined by

$$t_B - t_A = \mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \quad (33)$$

and

$$t_B - t_A = \mathcal{T}_r(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B). \quad (34)$$

We shall call $\mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ the emission time transfer function and $\mathcal{T}_r(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ the reception time transfer function.

There exist direct relations between the time transfer functions and the components of the vector tangent to a null geodesic. Indeed, it results from equations (32) and (33) that whatever $\mathbf{x}_B$, x_A^0 and $\mathbf{x}_A$, one has the relation

$$\Omega(x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x_A^0 + c\mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \mathbf{x}_B) \equiv 0. \quad (35)$$

Differentiating this identity with respect to x_A^0 , x_A^i and x_B^i , respectively, it is easily seen that the relations

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_A^0}(x_A, x_B) + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^0}(x_A, x_B) \left[1 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial t_A}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right] = 0, \quad (36)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_A^i}(x_A, x_B) + c \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^0}(x_A, x_B) \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial x_A^i}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$c \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^0}(x_A, x_B) \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial x_B^i}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) + \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial x_B^i}(x_A, x_B) = 0, \quad (38)$$

hold for any couple of points (x_A, x_B) connected by a null geodesic. Of course, analogous relations may be derived from the identity

$$\Omega(x_B^0 - c\mathcal{T}_r(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \mathbf{x}_A, x_B^0, \mathbf{x}_B) \equiv 0. \quad (39)$$

Comparing these relations with equations (22)-(23), we get the following theorem for the components of the vectors tangent to a light ray.

Theorem 1. *Consider a photon emitted at point $x_A = (ct_A, \mathbf{x}_A)$ and received at point $x_B = (ct_B, \mathbf{x}_B)$. Denote by k^μ the vector $dx^\mu/d\zeta$ tangent to the photon path, ζ being any affine parameter. Then, one has relations as follow for the covariant components of the vector tangent at x_A and x_B*

$$\left(\frac{k_i}{k_0} \right)_B = -c \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial x_B^i} = -c \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_r}{\partial x_B^i} \left[1 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_r}{\partial t_B} \right]^{-1}, \quad (40)$$

$$\left(\frac{k_i}{k_0} \right)_A = c \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial x_A^i} \left[1 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial t_A} \right]^{-1} = c \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_r}{\partial x_A^i}, \quad (41)$$

$$\frac{(k_0)_B}{(k_0)_A} = \left[1 + \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e}{\partial t_A} \right]^{-1} = 1 - \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_r}{\partial t_B}, \quad (42)$$

where $\mathcal{T}_e$ and $\mathcal{T}_r$ are taken at $(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ and $(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$, respectively.

These fundamental formulae show that all the theoretical problems related to the directions of light rays or to the frequency shifts may be solved as soon as at least one of the time transfer functions is explicitly determined. This property will be very useful in practice since extracting the time transfer formulae (33) or (34) from equation (32), next using equations (40)-(42) will be more straightforward than deriving the vectors tangent at x_A and x_B from equations (22)-(23), next imposing constraint (32).

Case of a stationary space-time – If space-time is stationary, we can choose coordinates (x^μ) such that the metric does not depend on x^0 . Then, the world function is a function of $x_B^0 - x_A^0$, $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$, and the two time transfer functions previously introduced are identical. So equations (33) and (34) reduce to a single relation of the form

$$t_B - t_A = \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B). \quad (43)$$

Since $\mathcal{T}$ only depends on $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$, it is immediately deduced from equations (40)-(42) that the vectors $(\tilde{k}^\mu)_A$ and $(\tilde{k}^\mu)_B$ defined by their covariant components

$$(\tilde{k}_0)_A = 1, \quad (\tilde{k}_i)_A = c \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}}{\partial x_A^i}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \quad (44)$$

$$(\tilde{k}_0)_B = 1, \quad (\tilde{k}_i)_B = -c \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}}{\partial x_B^i}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \quad (45)$$

are tangent to any light ray connecting $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$, respectively. It must be pointed out that these tangent vectors correspond to an affine parameter such that $\tilde{k}_0 = 1$ along the ray (note that such a parameter does not coincide with λ).

4. Determination of $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ in the n th post-Minkowskian approximation

Equations (31) or (30) are the basic formulae enabling to determine the perturbative expansion (2). One might also directly use the integral form of the world function given by (20), but the calculations would be very tedious (a brief outline of this method is given in Appendix A for the second post-Minkowskian approximation).

First of all, let us briefly consider Minkowski space-time. The geodesic path connecting x_A and x_B is curve $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$ having parametric equations $x^\alpha = x_{(0)}^\alpha(\lambda)$, with

$$x_{(0)}^\alpha(\lambda) = (x_B^\alpha - x_A^\alpha)\lambda + x_A^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1. \quad (46)$$

According to (46), equation (20) gives immediately for the world function in Minkowski space-time

$$\Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \eta_{\mu\nu} (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu). \quad (47)$$

In what follows we suppose that space-time is a perturbation of Minkowski space-time. Let us assume for a moment that metric (1) is written in the form

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu} + h_{\mu\nu}. \quad (48)$$

Henceforth we suppose that $x_B \in \mathcal{N}_{x_A}$. The geodesic curve Γ_{AB} connecting x_A and x_B is then a perturbation of the straight line $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$. So, the parametric equations of Γ_{AB} may be written in the form

$$x^\alpha(l) = (x_B^\alpha - x_A^\alpha)\lambda + x_A^\alpha + X^\alpha(l), \quad 0 \leq l \leq 1, \quad (49)$$

where functions X^α satisfy the boundary conditions

$$X^\alpha(0) = 0, \quad X^\alpha(1) = 0. \quad (50)$$

Noting that $\dot{x}^\mu(l) = x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu + \dot{X}^\mu(l)$ and that

$$\int_0^1 \eta_{\mu\nu} (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) \dot{X}^\nu(l) dl = 0$$

by virtue of equations (A.3), it may be seen that equation (20) transforms into

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x_A, x_B) &= \Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) + \frac{1}{2} (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 h_{\mu\nu}(x(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[\eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}^\mu(l) \dot{X}^\nu(l) + 2(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) h_{\mu\nu}(x(\lambda)) \dot{X}^\nu(l) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + h_{\mu\nu}(x(\lambda)) \dot{X}^\mu(l) \dot{X}^\nu(l) \right] dl. \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

All the integrals in (51) are taken over geodesic path Γ_{AB} , which is generally unknown. However, it is possible to obtain a perturbative expansion of $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ involving only line integrals taken over the straight line $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$ when the metric is given by expansion (1). Indeed, noting that the concomitant expansion of the contravariant components $g^{\mu\nu}$ is given by

$$g^{\mu\nu}(x, G) = \eta^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n g_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (52)$$

where the set of quantities $g_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}$ is determined by

$$g_{(1)}^{\mu\nu} = -\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}, \quad (53)$$

$$g_{(n)}^{\mu\nu} = -\eta^{\mu\rho}\eta^{\nu\sigma}g_{\rho\sigma}^{(n)} - \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \eta^{\mu\rho} g_{\rho\sigma}^{(p)} g_{(n-p)}^{\nu\sigma}, \quad (54)$$

we can state the theorem below.

Theorem 2. *Assuming that the metric is represented by expansion (1) and that $x_B \in \mathcal{N}_{x_A}$, the world function is given by equation (2), namely*

$$\Omega(x_A, x_B) = \Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n \Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x_B),$$

where

$$\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B) = -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}(x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho)(x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) \int_0^1 g_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda, \quad (55)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x_B) = & -\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\mu\rho}\eta_{\nu\sigma}(x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho)(x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) \int_0^1 g_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} g_{(p)}^{\mu\nu}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \left[\eta_{\mu\rho}(x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho) \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(n-p)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{q=1}^{n-p} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(q)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(n-p-q)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] d\lambda \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(p)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(n-p)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

whatever $n \geq 2$. All integrals are calculated along straight line $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$ defined by equation (46).

Before we prove this theorem, we can enunciate a corollary as follows.

Corollary. *In terms of covariant components of the metric tensor, $\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B)$ and $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$ may be written in the form*

$$\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda, \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B) = & \frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 \left[g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \eta^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\mu\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

This corollary is an immediate consequence of equations (55) and (56) for $n = 2$ when equations (53)-(54) are taken into account.

Proof of Theorem 2. Points x_A and x_B being given, we may consider $\Omega(x_A, x)$ as a function of x denoted by $\overline{\Omega}(x)$. This function satisfies the Hamilton-Jacobi equation (see equation (31))

$$\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\beta}(x) \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}}{\partial x^\alpha}(x) \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}}{\partial x^\beta}(x) = \overline{\Omega}(x). \tag{59}$$

Function $\overline{\Omega}(x)$ may be represented by a series

$$\overline{\Omega}(x) = \Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n \overline{\Omega}^{(n)}(x), \tag{60}$$

where each term $\overline{\Omega}^{(n)}$ satisfies the boundary condition

$$\overline{\Omega}^{(n)}(x_A) = 0. \tag{61}$$

Moreover, it follows from Property 2 that the first-order derivatives of each $\overline{\Omega}^{(n)}$ must satisfy the boundary condition

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_A} \left[\frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(n)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x) \right] = 0. \tag{62}$$

Inserting developments (52) and (60) into equation (59), and then putting

$$\overline{q}_\mu = \frac{\partial \Omega^{(0)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x) \equiv \eta_{\mu\rho}(x^\rho - x_A^\rho), \tag{63}$$

$$\overline{q}^\mu = \eta^{\mu\nu} \overline{q}_\nu = x^\mu - x_A^\mu, \tag{64}$$

it is easily seen that equation (59) splits up into an infinite set of partial differential equations as follows

$$\overline{q}^\mu \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(n)}}{\partial x^\mu} - \overline{\Omega}^{(n)} = \Phi^{(n)}, \tag{65}$$

where

$$\Phi^{(1)}(x) = -\frac{1}{2} \overline{q}_\mu \overline{q}_\nu g_{(1)}^{\mu\nu}(x), \tag{66}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi^{(n)}(x) = & -\frac{1}{2} \overline{q}_\mu \overline{q}_\nu g_{(n)}^{\mu\nu}(x) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \left\{ g_{(p)}^{\mu\nu}(x) \left[\overline{q}_\mu \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(n-p)}}{\partial x^\nu} + \sum_{q=1}^{n-p} \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(q)}}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(n-p-q)}}{\partial x^\nu} \right] + \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(p)}}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \overline{\Omega}^{(n-p)}}{\partial x^\nu} \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

whatever $n \geq 2$.

Assume now that x moves along $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$. This means that x varies as a function of λ according to equation (46). As a consequence the total derivative of $\bar{\Omega}^{(n)}$ along $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$ is given by

$$\frac{d\bar{\Omega}^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))}{d\lambda} = (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) \frac{\partial \bar{\Omega}^{(n)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)), \quad (68)$$

which implies that equation (65) may be written in the form of an ordinary differential equation

$$\lambda \frac{d\bar{\Omega}^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))}{d\lambda} - \bar{\Omega}^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) = \Phi^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)). \quad (69)$$

It is easy to show that equation (69) admits one and only one solution satisfying boundary conditions (61) and (62), namely

$$\bar{\Omega}^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) = \lambda \int_0^\lambda \frac{1}{\lambda'^2} \Phi^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda')) d\lambda', \quad (70)$$

from which one can deduce the formulae (55)-(56). The only difficulty is to prove that $\lambda^{-2}\Phi^{(n)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))$ is a continuous function of λ in the range $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$. The property is obviously true for $n = 1$, since it follows from equation (66) that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda^2} \Phi^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) = -\frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \eta_{\mu\rho} \eta_{\nu\sigma} g_{(1)}^{\rho\sigma}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)). \quad (71)$$

As a consequence, $\bar{\Omega}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))$ is given by (70) for $n = 1$. Therefore, the formula (55) holds. Replacing x_B by x in (55) and then differentiating with respect to x gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x) &= -\bar{q}_\rho \int_0^1 \eta_{\nu\sigma} g_{(1)}^{\rho\sigma}(\bar{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda})) d\bar{\lambda} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \bar{q}_\rho \bar{q}_\sigma \int_0^1 g_{(1),\nu}^{\rho\sigma}(\bar{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda})) \bar{\lambda} d\bar{\lambda}, \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

where $\bar{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda})$ is defined by

$$\bar{x}_{(0)}^\alpha(\bar{\lambda}) = (x^\alpha - x_A^\alpha) \bar{\lambda} + x_A^\alpha. \quad (73)$$

Setting $x = x_{(0)}(\lambda)$ in (72) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) &= -\lambda(x_B^\alpha - x_A^\alpha) \int_0^1 \eta_{\alpha\rho} \eta_{\nu\sigma} g_{(1)}^{\rho\sigma}(\bar{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda})) d\bar{\lambda} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^2 (x_B^\alpha - x_A^\alpha)(x_B^\beta - x_A^\beta) \int_0^1 \eta_{\alpha\rho} \eta_{\beta\sigma} g_{(1),\nu}^{\rho\sigma}(\bar{x}_{(0)}(\bar{\lambda})) \bar{\lambda} d\bar{\lambda}. \end{aligned} \quad (74)$$

Inserting this expression into equation (67) written for $n = 2$, it is easily seen that $\lambda^{-2}\Phi^{(2)}$ is a continuous function of λ . As a consequence, $\bar{\Omega}^{(2)}$ is given by (70) for $n = 2$, which proves that the formula (56) is valid for $n = 2$. The above reasoning may be used to prove that $\lambda^{-2}\Phi^{(n)}$ is continuous whatever n . Q. E. D.

The expression of $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$ given by the formula (58) leads to heavy calculations owing to the presence of quadratic terms with respect to the derivatives of $\Omega^{(1)}$ in one of the integrals. Fortunately, a drastic simplification is possible.

Theorem 3. *The second-order term $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$ may be written in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \\ &\times \int_0^1 \left[g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) - \eta^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \eta^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\rho}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\mu\nu,\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] d\lambda \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x_B^\mu}(x_A, x_B) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x_B^\nu}(x_A, x_B), \end{aligned} \quad (75)$$

the integral being taken along $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. It follows from equation (74) that

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \left[\frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] = 0. \quad (76)$$

Taking this equation into account, an integration by parts gives

$$\begin{aligned} & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x_B^\mu}(x_A, x_B) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x_B^\nu}(x_A, x_B) \\ &\quad - \eta_{\mu\nu} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] d\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

In order to transform the r.h.s. of equation (77), let us perform the change of variable $\lambda' = \lambda \bar{\lambda}$ in equation (74). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) &= (x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho) \int_0^\lambda g_{\rho\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda')) d\lambda' \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho) (x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) \int_0^\lambda g_{\rho\sigma,\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda')) \lambda' d\lambda'. \end{aligned} \quad (78)$$

Differentiating (78) with respect to λ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] &= (x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho) g_{\rho\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} (x_B^\rho - x_A^\rho) (x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) \lambda g_{\rho\sigma,\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)). \end{aligned} \quad (79)$$

Substituting this expression into (77), and then inserting the result of this substitution into (56), we finally get the formula (75). Q. E. D.

5. Time transfer functions in the n th post-Minkowskian approximation

It follows from equations (2), (35) and (47) that function $\mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_a, \mathbf{x}_B)$ satisfies the equation

$$c^2 \mathcal{T}_e^2 = R_{AB}^2 - 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n \Omega^{(n)}(x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x_A^0 + c \mathcal{T}_e, \mathbf{x}_B), \quad (80)$$

where

$$R_{AB} = |\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A|.$$

Since x_A is the point of emission and x_B the point of reception, we are looking for the solution to equation (80) such that $c\mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = R_{AB} + O(G)$. Mathematically, our problem consists in finding a function $u(x, \varepsilon)$ governed by the equation

$$u^2 = x^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n h_n(u) \quad (81)$$

and satisfying the condition

$$u(x, \varepsilon)|_{\varepsilon=0} = x, \quad (82)$$

ε being a parameter sufficiently small to warrant the convergence of the series which are handled. Using Maclaurin's expansion of u about $\varepsilon = 0$, the solution to equation (81) may be written in the form

$$u(x, \varepsilon) = x + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon^n u_n(x),$$

where

$$u_n(x) = \frac{1}{n!} \left. \frac{\partial^n u(x, \varepsilon)}{\partial \varepsilon^n} \right|_{\varepsilon=0}.$$

Performing successive differentiations of (81) with respect to ε and then taking (82) into account, a straightforward calculation yields $u_n(x)$ for any n . The first terms are given by

$$\begin{aligned} u_1(x) &= \frac{h_1(x)}{2x}, \\ u_2(x) &= \frac{1}{2x} [h_2(x) + h'_1(x)u_1(x) - u_1^2(x)], \\ u_3(x) &= \frac{1}{2x} \left[h_3(x) + h'_2(x)u_1(x) + \frac{1}{2}h''_1(x)u_1^2(x) + h'_1(x)u_2(x) - 2u_1(x)u_2(x) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (83)$$

Applying this procedure to equation (80), it would be possible to get $\mathcal{T}_e$ at any post-Minkowskian order. For the sake of brevity, let us define the following functions of $t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B$:

$$\tilde{\Omega}_e^{(n)}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \Omega^{(n)}(x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x_A^0 + R_{AB}, \mathbf{x}_B) \quad (84)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{e|k}^{(n)}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \frac{\partial^k \Omega^{(n)}}{(\partial x_B^0)^k}(x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x_A^0 + R_{AB}, \mathbf{x}_B) \quad (85)$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ (be careful that $\tilde{\Omega}_{e|k}^{(n)}$ is not a partial derivative of $\tilde{\Omega}_e^{(n)}$). Applying equations (83), we can state the following theorem.

Theorem 4. *In the third post-Minkowskian approximation the emission time transfer function is given by*

$$\mathcal{T}_e(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \frac{1}{c} R_{AB} + \sum_{n=1}^3 G^n \mathcal{T}_e^{(n)}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) + O(G^4), \quad (86)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)} = -\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_e^{(1)}}{cR_{AB}}, \quad (87)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_e^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{cR_{AB}} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_e^{(2)} + c\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}\tilde{\Omega}_{e|1}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}c^2(\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)})^2 \right], \quad (88)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_e^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{cR_{AB}} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_e^{(3)} + c\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}\tilde{\Omega}_{e|1}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}c^2(\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)})^2\tilde{\Omega}_{e|2}^{(1)} + c\mathcal{T}_e^{(2)}\tilde{\Omega}_{e|1}^{(1)} + c^2\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_e^{(2)} \right], \quad (89)$$

the functions $\tilde{\Omega}_e^{(n)}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{e|k}^{(n)}$ being defined by (84) and (85), respectively.

Of course, defining

$$\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(n)}(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \Omega^{(n)}(x_B^0 - R_{AB}, \mathbf{x}_A, x_B^0, \mathbf{x}_B) \quad (90)$$

and

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{r|k}^{(n)}(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \frac{\partial^k \Omega^{(n)}}{(\partial x_A^0)^k}(x_B^0 - R_{AB}, \mathbf{x}_A, x_B^0, \mathbf{x}_B), \quad (91)$$

a similar theorem can be stated for $\mathcal{T}_r(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$.

Theorem 4 a. *In the third post-Minkowskian approximation the reception time transfer function is given by*

$$\mathcal{T}_r(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \frac{1}{c}R_{AB} + \sum_{n=1}^3 G^n \mathcal{T}_r^{(n)}(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) + O(G^4), \quad (92)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)} = -\frac{\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(1)}}{cR_{AB}}, \quad (93)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_r^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{cR_{AB}} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(2)} - c\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}\tilde{\Omega}_{r|1}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}c^2(\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)})^2 \right], \quad (94)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_r^{(3)} = -\frac{1}{cR_{AB}} \left[\tilde{\Omega}_r^{(3)} - c\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}\tilde{\Omega}_{r|1}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2}c^2(\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)})^2\tilde{\Omega}_{r|2}^{(1)} - c\mathcal{T}_r^{(2)}\tilde{\Omega}_{r|1}^{(1)} + c^2\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}\mathcal{T}_r^{(2)} \right]. \quad (95)$$

It may be seen that $\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_r^{(n)}$ can be determined once $\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}, \dots, \mathcal{T}_e^{(n)}$ are known and conversely. Let us examine in detail the cases where $n = 1$ and $n = 2$.

Comparing expressions (87) and (93), we get immediately

$$\mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}\left(t - \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right) \quad (96)$$

and conversely

$$\mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}\left(t + \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right). \quad (97)$$

Now comparing expressions (88) and (94), and then noting that (87) implies the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}}{\partial t}(t, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \\ &= -\frac{1}{R_{AB}} \left[\frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x_A^0}(x^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x^0 + R_{AB}, \mathbf{x}_B) + \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x_B^0}(x^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x^0 + R_{AB}, \mathbf{x}_B) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (98)$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_r^{(2)}(t_B, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \mathcal{T}_e^{(2)}\left(t_B - \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right) \\ &\quad - \mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}\left(t_B - \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_e^{(1)}}{\partial t}\left(t_B - \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right). \end{aligned} \quad (99)$$

Conversely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_e^{(2)}(t_A, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \mathcal{T}_r^{(2)}\left(t_A + \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}\left(t_A + \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right) \frac{\partial \mathcal{T}_r^{(1)}}{\partial t}\left(t_A + \frac{R_{AB}}{c}, \mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B\right). \end{aligned} \quad (100)$$

6. Application to a static, spherically symmetric body

In order to apply the general results obtained in sections 4 and 5, let us determine the world function and the time transfer function in the second post-Minkowskian approximation. Choosing spatial isotropic coordinates, we suppose that the metric components may be written as

$$g_{00}^{(1)} = -\frac{2M}{c^2 r}, \quad g_{0i}^{(1)} = 0, \quad g_{ij}^{(1)} = -2\gamma \frac{M}{c^2 r} \delta_{ij}, \quad (101)$$

$$g_{00}^{(2)} = 2\beta \frac{M^2}{c^4 r^2}, \quad g_{0i}^{(2)} = 0, \quad g_{ij}^{(2)} = -\frac{3}{2} \delta \frac{M^2}{c^4 r^2} \delta_{ij},$$

where β and γ are the usual post-Newtonian parameters and δ is a post-post-Newtonian parameter (in general relativity, $\beta = \gamma = \delta = 1$). Furthermore, we suppose that $\mathbf{x}_A$ and $\mathbf{x}_B$ are such that the connecting geodesic path is both entirely outside the body and in the weak-field region. We use the notations

$$r = |\mathbf{x}|, \quad r_A = |\mathbf{x}_A|, \quad r_B = |\mathbf{x}_B|.$$

It is easily seen that $G\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B)$ is the term due to the mass in the multipole expansion of the world function given by equation (56) in [22] (see also [31])

$$\Omega^{(1)}(x_A^0, \mathbf{x}_A, x_B^0, \mathbf{x}_B) = -\frac{M}{c^2} [(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 + \gamma R_{AB}^2] F(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \quad (102)$$

where

$$F(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{|\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)|} = \frac{1}{R_{AB}} \ln \left(\frac{r_A + r_B + R_{AB}}{r_A + r_B - R_{AB}} \right). \quad (103)$$

For the integrals involving $g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}$ and terms quadratic in $g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}$ in the expression of $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 \left[g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) - \eta^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] d\lambda \\ &= \frac{M^2}{c^4} \left[(\beta - 2)(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 + \left(2\gamma^2 - \frac{3\delta}{4} \right) R_{AB}^2 \right] E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B), \end{aligned} \quad (104)$$

where $E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ is defined as

$$E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda}{|\mathbf{x}_{(0)}(\lambda)|^2}.$$

An elementary quadrature yields

$$\begin{aligned}
E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r_A^2 r_B^2 - (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)^2}} \left\{ \arctan \left[\frac{(\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A) \cdot \mathbf{x}_B}{\sqrt{r_A^2 r_B^2 - (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)^2}} \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \arctan \left[\frac{(\mathbf{x}_B - \mathbf{x}_A) \cdot \mathbf{x}_A}{\sqrt{r_A^2 r_B^2 - (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)^2}} \right] \right\} \\
&= \frac{\arccos(\mathbf{n}_A \cdot \mathbf{n}_B)}{r_A r_B \sqrt{1 - (\mathbf{n}_A \cdot \mathbf{n}_B)^2}}, \tag{105}
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{n}_A$ and $\mathbf{n}_B$ being defined as

$$\mathbf{n}_A = \mathbf{x}_A / r_A, \quad \mathbf{n}_B = \mathbf{x}_B / r_B.$$

For the integral involving the gradient of $\Omega^{(1)}$ in the formula (75), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 \eta^{\rho\sigma} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\rho}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\mu\nu, \sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \\
&= \frac{M^2}{c^4} [(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 + \gamma R_{AB}^2] \left[\left(1 + \frac{r_A}{r_B}\right) \frac{1}{R_{AB}^2} \frac{(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 + \gamma R_{AB}^2}{r_A r_B + (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2\gamma E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) - \frac{(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 - \gamma R_{AB}^2}{R_{AB}^2} \frac{F(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)}{r_B} \right]. \tag{106}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (104) and (106) into (75), and then carrying out the calculation of the square of the gradient of $\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B)$ at x_B , we obtain an expression for the world function as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(x_A, x_B) &= \frac{1}{2}(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 - \frac{1}{2}R_{AB}^2 - \frac{GM}{c^2} [(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 + \gamma R_{AB}^2] F(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \\
&+ \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^4} \left\{ \frac{(x_B^0 - x_A^0)^4}{R_{AB}^2} \left[\frac{1}{r_A r_B + (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)} - \frac{1}{2} F^2(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right] \right. \\
&+ (x_B^0 - x_A^0)^2 \left[\frac{2\gamma}{r_A r_B + (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)} - (2 - \beta + 2\gamma) E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + (2 + \gamma) F^2(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right] \right\} \\
&+ R_{AB}^2 \left[\frac{\gamma^2}{r_A r_B + (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)} - \frac{3\delta}{4} E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) - \frac{\gamma^2}{2} F^2(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) \right] \Big\} + O(G^3). \tag{107}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, using the formulae (87) and (88), a straightforward calculation gives for the time transfer function

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) &= \frac{R_{AB}}{c} + \frac{(\gamma + 1)GM}{c^3} \ln \left(\frac{r_A + r_B + R_{AB}}{r_A + r_B - R_{AB}} \right) \\
&+ \frac{G^2 M^2 R_{AB}}{c^5} \left[\frac{(8 - 4\beta + 8\gamma + 3\delta) \arccos(\mathbf{n}_A \cdot \mathbf{n}_B)}{4\sqrt{r_A^2 r_B^2 - (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)^2}} - \frac{(1 + \gamma)^2}{r_A r_B + (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)} \right] + O(G^3). \tag{108}
\end{aligned}$$

The first-order term is the Shapiro time delay [37]. The second-order terms in (108) generalize the result found by Brumberg in the case of general relativity [32].

Denoting by ψ_{AB} the angle formed by vectors $\mathbf{n}_A$ and $\mathbf{n}_B$ and noticing that $E(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ reads

$$\frac{\arccos(\mathbf{n}_A \cdot \mathbf{n}_B)}{\sqrt{r_A^2 r_B^2 - (\mathbf{x}_A \cdot \mathbf{x}_B)^2}} = \frac{\psi_{AB}}{r_A r_B \sin \psi_{AB}}, \quad 0 \leq \psi_{AB} < \pi, \quad (109)$$

we find an expression for $\mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B) = & \frac{R_{AB}}{c} \left\{ 1 + \frac{(\gamma + 1)GM}{c^2 R_{AB}} \ln \left(\frac{r_A + r_B + R_{AB}}{r_A + r_B - R_{AB}} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{G^2 M^2}{c^4 r_A r_B} \left[(2 - \beta + 2\gamma + \frac{3}{4}\delta) \frac{\psi_{AB}}{\sin \psi_{AB}} - \frac{(1 + \gamma)^2}{1 + \cos \psi_{AB}} \right] \right\} + O(G^3), \end{aligned} \quad (110)$$

which may be very helpful for numerical estimates.

7. Conclusion

The central result of this paper is given by Theorem 2. Assuming that x_B is in the normal convex neighbourhood of x_A , we have shown that $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ can be obtained in the n th post-Minkowskian approximation by a recursive procedure which spares the trouble of solving the geodesic equations. Any n th-order perturbation $\Omega^{(n)}(x_A, x_B)$ is an integral taken along the zeroth-order straight line joining x_A and x_B . Moreover, in Theorem 3 we have found a remarkable simplification of the integral giving $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$. In Theorems 4 and 4a we have outlined a recursive procedure enabling to obtain the perturbations of the time transfer functions when the perturbations of $\Omega(x_A, x_B)$ are known, assuming that the null cone at x_A is included in the normal convex neighbourhood of x_A . Since the time transfer functions are sufficient to determine the direction of a light ray in the 3-space relative to a given observer (see Theorem 1), the systematic methods explored here seem very promising to tackle the relativistic problems raised by highly accurate astrometry in space.

Using the simplification found in the second post-Minkowskian approximation, we have shown that the calculation of $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$ and $\mathcal{T}^{(2)}(\mathbf{x}_A, \mathbf{x}_B)$ reduces to elementary integrations in the case of a static, spherically symmetric space-time. The simplification within the second order works out so well that the question whether it works also for the higher-order terms naturally arises. We hope to return to this problem later. For the time being, we are applying the results obtained in the static, spherically symmetric case to the description of the light deflection between two points located at a finite distance.

Appendix A. Another determination of $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$

It is possible to derive $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$ directly from the Euler-Lagrange equations of geodesics, namely

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left((\eta_{\rho\sigma} + h_{\rho\sigma}) \frac{dx^\sigma}{d\lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\nu, \rho} \frac{dx^\mu}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\lambda}. \quad (A.1)$$

It is clear that the functions X^μ defined by equations (49) admit the following expansions

$$X^\mu(\lambda, G) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} G^n X_{(n)}^\mu(\lambda), \quad (A.2)$$

where the functions $X_{(n)}^\mu(\lambda)$ satisfy the boundary conditions

$$X_{(n)}^\mu(0) = 0, \quad X_{(n)}^\mu(1) = 0 \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

Substituting for $g_{\mu\nu}$ and X^μ from equations (1) and (A.2) respectively into equation (51) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega(x_A, x_B) = & \Omega^{(0)}(x_A, x_B) + \frac{1}{2}G(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x(\lambda))d\lambda \\ & + \frac{1}{2}G^2 \int_0^1 \left[(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right. \\ & \left. + 2(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) \dot{X}_{(1)}^\nu(l) g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu(l) \dot{X}_{(1)}^\nu(l) \right] d\lambda + O(G^3). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Noting that

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x(l)) = g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) + G X_{(1)}^\rho g_{\mu\nu,\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) + O(G^2) \quad (\text{A.5})$$

we find that $\Omega^{(1)}$ and $\Omega^{(2)}$ are given by

$$\Omega^{(1)}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda))d\lambda, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B) = & \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left\{ (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \left[g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) + X_{(1)}^\rho(l) g_{\mu\nu,\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right] \right. \\ & \left. + 2(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) \dot{X}_{(1)}^\nu(l) g_{\mu\nu}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) + \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu(l) \dot{X}_{(1)}^\nu(l) \right\} d\lambda, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where the integrals are now calculated along unperturbed geodesic $\Gamma_{AB}^{(0)}$.

Equation (A.6) coincides with the formula (57). Let us now transform the r.h.s. of equation (A.7) in order to get rid of all the terms involving $X_{(1)}^\mu$ or $\dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu$. Using equations (A.1) and retaining only the terms of first order in G , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) g_{\mu\nu,\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) = \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left[\eta_{\rho\sigma} \dot{X}_{(1)}^\sigma(l) + (x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \right].$$

Substituting the r.h.s. of these equations into equation (A.7), and then integrating by parts, we find

$$\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \left[(x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu)(x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) g_{\mu\nu}^{(2)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) - \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu(l) \dot{X}_{(1)}^\nu(l) \right] d\lambda. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

In order to transform the integral

$$\Xi_{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu(l) \dot{X}_{(1)}^\nu(l) d\lambda, \quad (\text{A.9})$$

let us insert expansions (A.2) and equation (2) into equations (28). Retaining only the terms of first order in G , we find that $X_{(1)}^\mu$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu - \frac{1}{\lambda} X_{(1)}^\mu = \frac{1}{\lambda} \eta^{\mu\rho} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\rho}(x_A, x_{(0)}(l)) - \eta^{\mu\rho} (x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)). \quad (\text{A.10})$$

The only solution to this equation satisfying the boundary condition $X_{(1)}^\mu(1) = 0$ is given by

$$X_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda) = \lambda Y_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda), \quad (\text{A.11})$$

where

$$Y_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda) = \eta^{\mu\rho} \int_\lambda^1 \left[\frac{1}{\lambda'} (x_B^\sigma - x_A^\sigma) g_{\rho\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda')) - \frac{1}{\lambda'^2} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\rho}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda')) \right] d\lambda'. \quad (\text{A.12})$$

Using Property 2, it is easily checked that this solution also satisfies the boundary condition $X_{(1)}^\mu(0) = 0$.

Now substituting for $\dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda)$ from $\dot{X}_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda) = \lambda \dot{Y}_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda) + Y_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda)$ into equation (A.9) and then integrating by parts, we get

$$\Xi_{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \lambda^2 \eta_{\mu\nu} \dot{Y}_{(1)}^\mu(\lambda) \dot{Y}_{(1)}^\nu(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad (\text{A.13})$$

since $Y_{(1)}^\mu(1) = 0$. Differentiating the r.h.s. of equations (A.12) with respect to λ and then substituting into equation (A.13), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi_{(2)} = & \frac{1}{2} (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) (x_B^\nu - x_A^\nu) \int_0^1 \eta^{\rho\sigma} g_{\mu\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\nu\sigma}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ & - (x_B^\mu - x_A^\mu) \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\lambda} \eta^{\nu\rho} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) g_{\mu\rho}^{(1)}(x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\lambda^2} \eta^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\mu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) \frac{\partial \Omega^{(1)}}{\partial x^\nu}(x_A, x_{(0)}(\lambda)) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting for $\Xi_{(2)}$ from this last equation into equation (A.8), we recover the expression of $\Omega^{(2)}(x_A, x_B)$ given by the formula (58).

As a final remark, we shall note that equations (A.11) and (A.12) yield the first-order perturbative term of the geodesic path joining two given points x_A and x_B as integrals taken over the straight line with ends at x_A and x_B .

- [1] Perryman M A C *et al.* 2001 *Astron. Astrophys.* **369** 339
- [2] Danner R and Unwin S 1999 Space Interferometry Mission JPL 400-811, 3/99 p 70
- [3] Turyshev S G, Shao M and Nordtvedt K 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** 2773
- [4] Epstein R and Shapiro I I 1980 *Phys. Rev. D* **22** 2947
- [5] Fischbach E and Freeman B S 1980 *Phys. Rev. D* **22** 2950
- [6] Richter G W and Matzner R A 1982 *Phys. Rev. D* **26** 2549
- [7] Nordtvedt K Jr 1987 *ApJ* **320** 871
- [8] Klioner S A 1991 *Sov. Astron.* **35** 523
- [9] Klioner S A and Kopeikin S M 1992 *Astron. J.* **104** 897
- [10] Kopeikin S M 1997 *J. Math. Phys.* **38** 2587
- [11] Kopeikin S M and Schäfer G 1999 *Phys. Rev. D* **60** 124002
- [12] Kopeikin S M and Mashhoon B 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **65** 064025
- [13] Ciufolini I and Ricci F 2002 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **19** 3863
- [14] Ciufolini I, Kopeikin S, Mashhoon B and Ricci F 2003 *Phys. Lett. A* **308** 101
- [15] Klioner S A 2003 *Astron. J.* **125** 1580
- [16] Richter G W and Matzner R A 1983 *Phys. Rev. D* **28** 3007
- [17] Ruse H S 1930 *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **31** 225; 1931 *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **32** 87

- [18] Synge J L 1931 *Proc. London Math. Soc.* **32** 241
- [19] Synge J L 1964 *Relativity: The General Theory* (Amsterdam: North-Holland)
- [20] Poisson E 2004 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **21** R153
- [21] Giannoni F, Masiello A and Piccione P 1999 *Class. Quantum Grav.* **16** 731
- [22] Linet B and Teyssandier P 2002 *Phys. Rev. D* **66** 024045
- [23] Ashby N 1998 *Proceedings of the 1998 IEEE International Frequency Control Symposium*, Pasadena (New York: IEEE) p 320
- [24] Blanchet L, Salomon C, Teyssandier P and Wolf P 2001 *Astron. Astrophys.* **370** 320
- [25] Stodolsky L 1979 *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **11** 391
- [26] Linet B and Teyssandier P 2002 Quantum phase shift and neutrino oscillations in a stationary, weak gravitational field *Preprint* gr-qc/0206056
- [27] Buchdahl H A 1990 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **29** 209
- [28] See Ref. [19], p. 302
- [29] Will C M 1981 *Theory and Experiment in Gravitational Physics* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [30] Damour T and Esposito-Farèse G 1996 *Phys. Rev. D* **53** 5541
- [31] John R W 1975 *Exp. Techn. Phys.* **23** 127
- [32] Brumberg V A 1987 *Kinematics Phys. Celest. Bodies* **3** 6
- [33] Buchdahl H A 1970 *Optica Acta* **17** 707
- [34] Buchdahl H A 1979 *Aust. J. Phys.* **32** 405
- [35] Buchdahl H A 1985 *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **24** 457
- [36] Gelfand I M and Fomin S V 1963 *Calculus of Variations* (Englewood Cliffs: Prentice-Hall)
- [37] Shapiro I I 1964 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13** 789